#### STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name: CH. Rajesh

Date: 3-08-2021

Current Position: Mandent

Mail id: raje the chamalla 0611 @gmail.com

Phone: 934774219(

Degree & Year of Study: 28t 13.0.5

This Questionnaire is intended to collect information regarding student's satisfaction towards the curriculum, learning and evaluation

S.No	Parameters	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor (1)
1	The syllabus was challenging	•				
2	Content of the course and relevance of the units					
3	The depth of the course content is adequate					
4	Size of the syllabus in terms of the load of students					
5	The recommended textbooks are adequately available and map on to the syllabus					
6	Syllabus enabled to improve the ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems				,	
7	How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course					
8	Overall Experience					

Remarks if any:

### STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name:	p.	Gop	lka	purnima
-------	----	-----	-----	---------

Date: 26/7/21

Current Position: Student

Mail id: gopika purnima 2002@ gmail.com

Phone:

9121041683

Degree & Year of Study: Indye ar

This Questionnaire is intended to collect information regarding student's satisfaction towards the curriculum, learning and evaluation

S.No	Parameters	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor (1)
1	The syllabus was challenging					
2	Content of the course and relevance of the units			/		
3	The depth of the course content is adequate		/			,
4	Size of the syllabus in terms of the load of students			/		
5	The recommended textbooks are adequately available and map on to the syllabus	<b>/</b>			-	
6	Syllabus enabled to improve the ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems			~		
7	How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course	/				
8	Overall Experience		/			

Remarks if any:

P Gopika punima Signature of Student

### STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

R. Yashazwini

Date: 2/8/21

Current Position: Student

Mail id: yashaswini. by reddy @gmail.com

Phone: \$374409935

Degree & Year of Study: I nd BDS

This Questionnaire is intended to collect information regarding student's satisfaction towards the curriculum, learning and evaluation

S.No	Parameters	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor (1)
1	The syllabus was challenging		V			
2	Content of the course and relevance of the units					
3	The depth of the course content is adequate					
4	Size of the syllabus in terms of the load of students			~		
5	The recommended textbooks are adequately available and map on to the syllabus			V		
6	Syllabus enabled to improve the ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems		V			
7	How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course			V		
8	Overall Experience					

Remarks if any:

#### STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name: Bondada Deepyp

Date: 5/8/21

Current Position: Student

Mail id: bondada.d @ gma/1.com.

Phone: 66399 WOW

Degree & Year of Study: W 80s

This Questionnaire is intended to collect information regarding student's satisfaction towards the curriculum, learning and evaluation

S.No	Parameters	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor (1)
1	The syllabus was challenging					
2	Content of the course and relevance of the units		/			
3	The depth of the course content is adequate	/				
4	Size of the syllabus in terms of the load of students		/			
5	The recommended textbooks are adequately available and map on to the syllabus					
6	Syllabus enabled to improve the ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems	/				
7	How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course					
8	Overall Experience					

Remarks if any:

## STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name: G. Christina

Date: 29-07-2021

Current Position: Student

Mail id: ganta Chevistina 3@ gmail. com.

Phone: 798 1516442

Degree & Year of Study: V BOG.

This Questionnaire is intended to collect information regarding student's satisfaction towards the curriculum, learning and evaluation

S.No	Parameters	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor (1)
1	The syllabus was challenging		/			
2	Content of the course and relevance of the units		<b>&gt;</b>			
3	The depth of the course content is adequate			/		
4	Size of the syllabus in terms of the load of students			<b>✓</b>		
5	The recommended textbooks are adequately available and map on to the syllabus			<b>✓</b>		
6	Syllabus enabled to improve the ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems			<b>/</b>		
7	How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course			~		
8	Overall Experience					

Remarks if any:

G. Chevotine Signature of Student

### STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name: D. Aasvitha

Date: 27-7-2021

Current Position: Student

Mail id: asvitha daggupati 8822@gmail.com

Phone: 8096299899

Degree & Year of Study: U BOS

This Questionnaire is intended to collect information regarding student's satisfaction towards the curriculum, learning and evaluation

S.No	Parameters	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor (1)
1	The syllabus was challenging		<b>✓</b>			
2	Content of the course and relevance of the units			<b>V</b>		
3	The depth of the course content is adequate		~			
4	Size of the syllabus in terms of the load of students			V		
5	The recommended textbooks are adequately available and map on to the syllabus			V		
6	Syllabus enabled to improve the ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems			~		
7	How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course			<b>✓</b>		
8	Overall Experience			<b>✓</b>		

Remarks if any:

### STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name: 6. Anusha

Date: 5-8-2021

Current Position: student

Mail id: anushago nabozina@ 3mail.com

Phone: 9398096273

Degree & Year of Study: V BDS

This Questionnaire is intended to collect information regarding student's satisfaction towards the curriculum, learning and evaluation

S.No	Parameters	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor (1)
1	The syllabus was challenging			<u></u>		
2	Content of the course and relevance of the units			<u></u>		
3	The depth of the course content is adequate		/			
4	Size of the syllabus in terms of the load of students			/		
5	The recommended textbooks are adequately available and map on to the syllabus			~		
6	Syllabus enabled to improve the ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems			/		
7	How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course			_		
8	Overall Experience		/			

Remarks if any:

#### STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name: D. Grace Kezlah

Date: 4/8/21

Current Position: Student

Mail id: gracek. bds 1717 @ lids. ac.in

Phone: 9177184572

Degree & Year of Study: IV BDS.

This Questionnaire is intended to collect information regarding student's satisfaction towards the curriculum, learning and evaluation

S.No	Parameters	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor (1)
1	The syllabus was challenging		$\checkmark$			
2	Content of the course and relevance of the units	✓				
3	The depth of the course content is adequate	V				
4	Size of the syllabus in terms of the load of students		/			
5	The recommended textbooks are adequately available and map on to the syllabus	V				
6	Syllabus enabled to improve the ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems	<b>/</b>				
7	How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course		<b>/</b>			
8	Overall Experience			/		

Remarks if any:

#### STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

•				
N	a	m	e:	

Name: G. Jaya Sai Meghana Current Position: Student

Date: 3-8-21

Mail id: gaddon. Jayasaineghana agmail tom

Phone: 6977621629

Degree & Year of Study:

IV BDS

This Questionnaire is intended to collect information regarding student's satisfaction towards the curriculum, learning and evaluation

S.No	Parameters	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor (1)
1	The syllabus was challenging	e.	-	/		
2	Content of the course and relevance of the units			V		
3	The depth of the course content is adequate		V			
4	Size of the syllabus in terms of the load of students			V		
5	The recommended textbooks are adequately available and map on to the syllabus			~		
6	Syllabus enabled to improve the ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems			V		
7	How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course					
8	Overall Experience			/		

Remarks if any:

G. TayaSurrighane Signature of Student

### STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name: O. THANS?

Date: 21- 7-21

Current Position: 8700ENT

Mail id: Thank? reddy 187 @grows.com

Phone: 8309271451

Degree & Year of Study: 13th 808

This Questionnaire is intended to collect information regarding student's satisfaction towards the curriculum, learning and evaluation

S.No	Parameters	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor (1)
1	The syllabus was challenging			~		
2	Content of the course and relevance of the units				~	
3	The depth of the course content is adequate		<b>&gt;</b>			
4	Size of the syllabus in terms of the load of students	<				
5	The recommended textbooks are adequately available and map on to the syllabus			<b>/</b>		
6	Syllabus enabled to improve the ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems	~				
7	How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course			~		
8	Overall Experience		<b>✓</b>			

Remarks if any:

#### STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name: A. Bindu Sri Varshini

Date: 06-8-2021

Current Position: Student

Mail id: auulavachale a gmail com

Phone: 9347560774

Degree & Year of Study: TBDS.

This Questionnaire is intended to collect information regarding student's satisfaction towards the curriculum, learning and evaluation

S.No	Parameters	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor (1)
1	The syllabus was challenging		~			
2	Content of the course and relevance of the units		~			
3	The depth of the course content is adequate			V		
4	Size of the syllabus in terms of the load of students	/				
5	The recommended textbooks are adequately available and map on to the syllabus		Ð	V		
6	Syllabus enabled to improve the ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems		✓			
7	How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course	~				
8	Overall Experience		/			

Remarks if any:

#### STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name: M. Suelu

Date: 27-7-21

Current Position: Cludent

Mail id: Suchamattipalli 70 gnail.com

Phone:

630447077)

Degree & Year of Study: BDS - 1 year

This Questionnaire is intended to collect information regarding student's satisfaction towards the curriculum, learning and evaluation

S.No	Parameters	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor (1)
1	The syllabus was challenging		/			
2	Content of the course and relevance of the units	/				
3	The depth of the course content is adequate			/		
4	Size of the syllabus in terms of the load of students	/	<b>L</b>			
5	The recommended textbooks are adequately available and map on to the syllabus		<b>/</b>			
6	Syllabus enabled to improve the ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems	✓				
7	How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course		<b>✓</b>			
8	Overall Experience		<b>✓</b>			

Remarks if any:

#### STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name:	N. keer	m'	•	Date: 2	3-2-	2
	1 4			Dute. Z		

Current Position: Student

Mail id: Keerthinagineni ODE gmeal. com

Phone: 6300750005

Degree & Year of Study: III BOS.

This Questionnaire is intended to collect information regarding student's satisfaction towards the curriculum, learning and evaluation

S.No	Parameters	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor (1)
1	The syllabus was challenging	/				
2	Content of the course and relevance of the units					
3	The depth of the course content is adequate					
4	Size of the syllabus in terms of the load of students	<b>✓</b>		90		
5	The recommended textbooks are adequately available and map on to the syllabus		-			
6	Syllabus enabled to improve the ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems		/			
7	How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course					
8	Overall Experience	/				

Remarks if any:

#### STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name: E. DHARMA 1696	DEMA 1614
----------------------	-----------

Date: 29-7-21

Current Position: Student

Mail id: MOCES to Somail Cor

Phone: 9660 9786

Degree & Year of Study: 1005

### This Questionnaire is intended to collect information regarding student's satisfaction towards the curriculum, learning and evaluation

S.No	Parameters	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor (1)
1	The syllabus was challenging	\ <u></u>				
2	Content of the course and relevance of the units		✓			
3	The depth of the course content is adequate			<b>✓</b>		
4	Size of the syllabus in terms of the load of students			✓		
5	The recommended textbooks are adequately available and map on to the syllabus			$\checkmark$		
6	Syllabus enabled to improve the ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems		•		<b>✓</b>	
7	How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course		✓			
8	Overall Experience					

Remarks if any:

### STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name: K. SIRI HAMSIKA

Date: 30/7/21

Current Position: Student

Mail id: Sivihansika. K @gmail.com

Phone: 6304550044

Degree & Year of Study: 111464

This Questionnaire is intended to collect information regarding student's satisfaction towards the curriculum, learning and evaluation

S.No	Parameters	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor (1)
1	The syllabus was challenging		V			
2	Content of the course and relevance of the units					
3	The depth of the course content is adequate					
4	Size of the syllabus in terms of the load of students		/			
5	The recommended textbooks are adequately available and map on to the syllabus					
6	Syllabus enabled to improve the ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems		/			
7	How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course		/			
8	Overall Experience					

Remarks if any:

#### STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name: A. Suma Madhun

Date: 11/12/2020.

Current Position: Student

Mail id: Suma aluni 01 @ gmaul. com

Phone: 99 89 809221

Degree & Year of Study: 3xd 1305 (2019 - 20)

This Questionnaire is intended to collect information regarding student's satisfaction towards the curriculum, learning and evaluation

S.No	Parameters	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor (1)
1	The syllabus was challenging		>			
2	Content of the course and relevance of the units			~		
3	The depth of the course content is adequate		/			
4	Size of the syllabus in terms of the load of students			>		
5	The recommended textbooks are adequately available and map on to the syllabus		/			
6	Syllabus enabled to improve the ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems		^	\		
7	How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course			1		
8	Overall Experience					

Remarks if any:

#### STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name:	Md.	Afreen
-------	-----	--------

Date: | | | 12 | 2020

Current Position: Student

Mail id: afrien 9704 @ gmail. Com.

Phone: 9550202560

Degree & Year of Study: 3<sup>52</sup> BDS (2019-2020)

This Questionnaire is intended to collect information regarding student's satisfaction towards the curriculum, learning and evaluation

S.No	Parameters	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor (1)
1	The syllabus was challenging		/			
2	Content of the course and relevance of the units			/		
3	The depth of the course content is adequate					
4	Size of the syllabus in terms of the load of students					
5	The recommended textbooks are adequately available and map on to the syllabus					
6	Syllabus enabled to improve the ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems					
7	How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course		,			
8	Overall Experience			,		

Remarks if any:

### STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name: K. Zephanish.

Current Position: 75 BB.

Date: 20/11/2010

Mail id: Zphanish 30082001 @ gmail - com.

Phone: 9390399500.

Degree & Year of Study:2019 - 2020 (I 5 t 305)

This Questionnaire is intended to collect information regarding student's satisfaction towards the curriculum, learning and evaluation

S.No	Parameters	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor (1)
1	The syllabus was challenging					
2	Content of the course and relevance of the units					
3	The depth of the course content is adequate		1			
4	Size of the syllabus in terms of the load of students					
5	The recommended textbooks are adequately available and map on to the syllabus					
6	Syllabus enabled to improve the ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems					
7	How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course					
8	Overall Experience		/			

Remarks if any: NO

### STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name:

K. Manish Rgia

· Date: 05/11/2020

Current Position: 3st BDS

Mail id: docto/mon ishopjago (a) gmail.com Phone: 8121812487

Degree & Year of Study: 3+ BDS (2019-2020)

This Questionnaire is intended to collect information regarding student's satisfaction towards the curriculum, learning and evaluation

S.No	Parameters	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor (1)
1	The syllabus was challenging	✓ <b> </b>				
2	Content of the course and relevance of the units		/			
3	The depth of the course content is adequate		~		,	
4	Size of the syllabus in terms of the load of students		/			
5	The recommended textbooks are adequately available and map on to the syllabus	<u> </u>				
6	Syllabus enabled to improve the ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems			~		
7	How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course		/			
8	Overall Experience		/			

Remarks if any:

#### STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name: M. Nikhil Naile

Date: 31 10/2020

Current Position: T4+ BDJ

Mail id: nikhi I naik-m qq agmail, com

Phone: 8919541460

Degree & Year of Study: Theos - 2019-2020.

This Questionnaire is intended to collect information regarding student's satisfaction towards the curriculum, learning and evaluation

S.No	Parameters	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor (1)
1	The syllabus was challenging					
2	Content of the course and relevance of the units			<b>✓</b> ·		
3	The depth of the course content is adequate	•	$\checkmark$			
4	Size of the syllabus in terms of the load of students			<b>\</b>		
5	The recommended textbooks are adequately available and map on to the syllabus		<b>✓</b>			
6	Syllabus enabled to improve the ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems				~	
7	How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course					
8	Overall Experience					

Remarks if any:

#### STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name:	K٠	Swy	pa	Succ
-------	----	-----	----	------

Date: 31/10/2D

Current Position: III BDS

Mail id: K. Suryasree 1999@g mail.com

Phone: 9848259313

Degree & Year of Study: 11 8DS (19-20)

# This Questionnaire is intended to collect information regarding student's satisfaction towards the curriculum, learning and evaluation

S.No	Parameters	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor (1)
1	The syllabus was challenging		✓			
2	Content of the course and relevance of the units	· _/				
3	The depth of the course content is adequate		/			
4	Size of the syllabus in terms of the load of students	✓		ž.		
5	The recommended textbooks are adequately available and map on to the syllabus	$\checkmark$				
6	Syllabus enabled to improve the ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems		<b>✓</b>			
7	How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course		✓			
8	Overall Experience					

Remarks if any:

K. Swyp Solee
Signature of Student

#### STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name: Butte. Yas aswini

Date: 21/7/2020

Current Position: Student

Mail id: butkyasaswini@gmail.com

Phone: 9391300269

Degree & Year of Study: 2 BD」

This Questionnaire is intended to collect information regarding student's satisfaction towards the curriculum, learning and evaluation

S.No	Parameters	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor (1)
1	The syllabus was challenging			<b>✓</b>		
2	Content of the course and relevance of the units		<b>\</b>			
3	The depth of the course content is adequate					
4	Size of the syllabus in terms of the load of students	<b>~</b>				
5	The recommended textbooks are adequately available and map on to the syllabus	,	9	/		
6	Syllabus enabled to improve the ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems	~				
7	How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course			/		
8	Overall Experience	*	<u></u>			

Remarks if any:

## STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name: NITTALA NAGA SAT LAKSHMI PRANAVI Date: 27-07-2020

Current Position: INTERN

Mail id: pranawithavans@gmail.com

Phone: 7731938613

Degree & Year of Study: B. O. 1 - Julian

This Questionnaire is intended to collect information regarding student's satisfaction towards the curriculum, learning and evaluation

S.No	Parameters	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor (1)
1	The syllabus was challenging	/	` ,			
2	Content of the course and relevance of the units		<u> </u>			
3	The depth of the course content is adequate			<b>/</b>		
4	Size of the syllabus in terms of the load of students					
5	The recommended textbooks are adequately available and map on to the syllabus	/				
6	Syllabus enabled to improve the ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems		/			
7	How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course		/			
8	Overall Experience		/			

Remarks if any: -

## STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name: K. Meghana S&9

Date: 22/7/2020

Current Position: student

Mail id: Kalyani Meghana@g.mail.com

Phone: 8712284291

Degree & Year of Study: 2 8.0.5

This Questionnaire is intended to collect information regarding student's satisfaction towards the curriculum, learning and evaluation

S.No	Parameters	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor (1)
1	The syllabus was challenging			~		
2	Content of the course and relevance of the units		✓			
3	The depth of the course content is adequate	<b>✓</b>				
4	Size of the syllabus in terms of the load of students		/			
5	The recommended textbooks are adequately available and map on to the syllabus			~		
6	Syllabus enabled to improve the ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems	<b>/</b>				
7	How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course		✓			
8	Overall Experience	<b>/</b>				

Remarks if any:

#### STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name: CH. Veuika

Date: 30-7-20

Current Position: Student

Mail id: Verlika. Chitrada @gmail.com

Phone: 6301050930

Degree & Year of Study: 756 BDS

This Questionnaire is intended to collect information regarding student's satisfaction towards the curriculum, learning and evaluation

S.No	Parameters	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor (1)
1	The syllabus was challenging		✓			
2	Content of the course and relevance of the units	<b>✓</b>				
3	The depth of the course content is adequate			$\checkmark$		
4	Size of the syllabus in terms of the load of students		<b> </b>			\
5	The recommended textbooks are adequately available and map on to the syllabus	,			_/	
6	Syllabus enabled to improve the ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems					
7	How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course				/	
8	Overall Experience			~		

Remarks if any:

#### STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name: N. Sudan Princy

Date: 30/6/20

Current Position: Student

Mail id: suzarproncy nelala 9 @ gmail. com.

Phone: 8185823737

Degree & Year of Study: N BDS

This Questionnaire is intended to collect information regarding student's satisfaction towards the curriculum, learning and evaluation

S.No	Parameters	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor (1)
1	The syllabus was challenging			-		
2	Content of the course and relevance of the units			V		
3	The depth of the course content is adequate				~	
4	Size of the syllabus in terms of the load of students				~	
5	The recommended textbooks are adequately available and map on to the syllabus			~		
6	Syllabus enabled to improve the ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems			1		
7	How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course				J	
8	Overall Experience			/		

Remarks if any:

6,000

M. Juzan Proncy Signature of Student

#### STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name:	M	Vmeela	Had	assa
-------	---	--------	-----	------

Date: 30 /a /20

Current Position: Student

Mail id: Vinny vineela 3010 @ gmail.com.

Phone: 9121997817

Degree & Year of Study: BDS

This Questionnaire is intended to collect information regarding student's satisfaction towards the curriculum, learning and evaluation

S.No	Parameters	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor (1)
1	The syllabus was challenging			✓		
2	Content of the course and relevance of the units			1		
3	The depth of the course content is adequate				~	
4	Size of the syllabus in terms of the load of students				· _/	
5	The recommended textbooks are adequately available and map on to the syllabus			✓		
6	Syllabus enabled to improve the ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems			J		
7	How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course				✓	
8	Overall Experience			✓		

Remarks if any: Modify the Syllabus according to the recent advances.

J. Vincel Haday Signature of Student

### STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name: M.V. Sai Sudha

Date: 30/6/20

Current Position: Student

Mail id: Saisudhamandapation@gmail.com

Phone: 9182745245

Degree & Year of Study: N BDS

This Questionnaire is intended to collect information regarding student's satisfaction towards the curriculum, learning and evaluation

S.No	Parameters	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor (1)
1	The syllabus was challenging			~		
2	Content of the course and relevance of the units			·		
3	The depth of the course content is adequate				~	
4	Size of the syllabus in terms of the load of students				<b>~</b>	
5	The recommended textbooks are adequately available and map on to the syllabus			<b>V</b>		
6	Syllabus enabled to improve the ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems			✓		
7	How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course				~	
8	Overall Experience			<b>✓</b>		

Remarks if any:

Good

### STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

K. Devi priya Name:

Date: 15/9/20

Current Position: Student

Mail id:

priyasi varam 1999 @gmail.com

Phone: 9959520474

Degree & Year of Study: BDS.

This Questionnaire is intended to collect information regarding student's satisfaction towards the curriculum, learning and evaluation

S.No	Parameters	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor (1)
1	The syllabus was challenging					
2	Content of the course and relevance of the units			<b>/</b>		
3	The depth of the course content is adequate					
4	Size of the syllabus in terms of the load of students			✓		
5	The recommended textbooks are adequately available and map on to the syllabus					
6	Syllabus enabled to improve the ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems		<b>\</b>			
7	How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course				•	
8	Overall Experience					

Remarks if any:

K. Devi priya. Signature of Student

### STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name:	K. Ujwala	•	Date: 15	9	20
-------	-----------	---	----------	---	----

Current Position: Student
Mail id: Www.ala 16599 @gmail.com

Phone: 7207813949

Degree & Year of Study: BDS

This Questionnaire is intended to collect information regarding student's satisfaction towards the curriculum, learning and evaluation

S.No	Parameters	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor (1)
1	The syllabus was challenging			✓		
2	Content of the course and relevance of the units			/		
3	The depth of the course content is adequate		1			
4	Size of the syllabus in terms of the load of students			/		
5	The recommended textbooks are adequately available and map on to the syllabus					
6	Syllabus enabled to improve the ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems				/	
7	How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course					
8	Overall Experience	,				

Remarks if any:

#### STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name: M. Roji	•	Date: 20/5/20
Current Position: Student		
Mail id: 80jim. bds 1761@ Lids. acin		

Phone: 7893117632.

Degree & Year of Study: Tryr Under graduate

This Questionnaire is intended to collect information regarding student's satisfaction towards the curriculum, learning and evaluation

S.No	Parameters	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor (1)
1	The syllabus was challenging					
2	Content of the course and relevance of the units			>		
3	The depth of the course content is adequate			>		
4	Size of the syllabus in terms of the load of students			/	8	
5	The recommended textbooks are adequately available and map on to the syllabus			~		
6	Syllabus enabled to improve the ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems			~		Ni .
7	How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course			/		
8	Overall Experience		a 80 8			and the second

Remarks if any:

Roji

#### STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name: M. LILLY GRACE

Date: 20/5/20

Current Position: Student

Mail id: l'illygrace 3398@gmail-com

Phone: 9100564063

Degree & Year of Study: In gr under graduate

This Questionnaire is intended to collect information regarding student's satisfaction towards the curriculum, learning and evaluation

S.No	Parameters	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor (1)
1	The syllabus was challenging	>	J			
2	Content of the course and relevance of the units	J	1			
3	The depth of the course content is adequate	$\checkmark$				
4	Size of the syllabus in terms of the load of students	<b>✓</b>				
5	The recommended textbooks are adequately available and map on to the syllabus	<b>✓</b>				
6	Syllabus enabled to improve the ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems	V		Application transferred to		
7	How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course	$\checkmark$				
8	Overall Experience	√.				

Remarks if any:

M. LilyGrace Signature of Student

### STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name: M.V.N. HARSHITHA

Date: 6/8/19

Current Position: Student

Mail id: harshitha .harshi 20599 @gmail .com

Phone: 9619

9618244263

Degree & Year of Study: Tily under graduate

This Questionnaire is intended to collect information regarding student's satisfaction towards the curriculum, learning and evaluation

S.No	Parameters	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor (1)
1	The syllabus was challenging	>				5
2	Content of the course and relevance of the units		$\checkmark$			
3	The depth of the course content is adequate	$\checkmark$				
4	Size of the syllabus in terms of the load of students	$\checkmark$			к	
5	The recommended textbooks are adequately available and map on to the syllabus	✓				
6	Syllabus enabled to improve the ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems		V			
7	How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course	$\checkmark$				
8	Overall Experience	<b>✓</b>				

Remarks if any:

M.V.N. Harshita Signature of Student

### STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name: M. VINEETHA

Date: 6/8/19

Current Position: STUDENT

Mail id: Ulneetha . bds 1756. @ lids . oc . in.

Phone: 9676727637

Degree & Year of Study: The year undergraduate

This Questionnaire is intended to collect information regarding student's satisfaction towards the curriculum, learning and evaluation

S.No	Parameters	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor (1)
1	The syllabus was challenging	/				
2	Content of the course and relevance of the units	. ✓				
3	The depth of the course content is adequate	✓				
4	Size of the syllabus in terms of the load of students	/				
5	The recommended textbooks are adequately available and map on to the syllabus					
6	Syllabus enabled to improve the ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems					-
7	How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course	/				2 554
8	Overall Experience	/				

Remarks if any:

M. Vincetha. Signature of Student

#### STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name: M. Shefali Jeanne

Date: 6/8/19

Current Position: Student

Mail id: Shefali 2097@gmail.com

Phone: 8309828790

Degree & Year of Study: Thy undergraduate

This Questionnaire is intended to collect information regarding student's satisfaction towards the curriculum, learning and evaluation

S.No	Parameters	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor (1)
1	The syllabus was challenging	<i></i>				
2	Content of the course and relevance of the units					
3	The depth of the course content is adequate					
4	Size of the syllabus in terms of the load of students	/				
5	The recommended textbooks are adequately available and map on to the syllabus	<b>&gt;</b>				,
6	Syllabus enabled to improve the ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems					
7	How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course					
8	Overall Experience					

Remarks if any:

M. Shefale Tlarre Signature of Student

#### STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Nagothu. Maria Rose Mary

Date: 2/5/20

Current Position: Shedent.

Mail id:

nagotho chinnavi 706AL @ qmail. com.

Phone:

8639108584

Degree & Year of Study: In yr undergraduate

This Questionnaire is intended to collect information regarding student's satisfaction towards the curriculum, learning and evaluation

S.No	Parameters	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor (1)
1	The syllabus was challenging					Va.
2	Content of the course and relevance of the units		V			
3	The depth of the course content is adequate		~			14
4	Size of the syllabus in terms of the load of students					344
5	The recommended textbooks are adequately available and map on to the syllabus		V			
6	Syllabus enabled to improve the ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems			,		1
7	How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course		V			
8	Overall Experience					

Remarks if any:

Rose Mary. Signature of Student

### STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name: S. Abhi Ram

Date: 2/5/20

Current Position: Abdent

Mail id: Abhiram 130599 @ grait com

Phone: 8309116850

Degree & Year of Study: They undergraduate

This Questionnaire is intended to collect information regarding student's satisfaction towards the curriculum, learning and evaluation

S.No	Parameters	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor (1)
1	The syllabus was challenging	Na.	<b>✓</b>			
2	Content of the course and relevance of the units		<b>✓</b>			
3	The depth of the course content is adequate		<b>✓</b>			
4	Size of the syllabus in terms of the load of students		<b>/</b>		Œ	
5	The recommended textbooks are adequately available and map on to the syllabus	<b>✓</b>				
6	Syllabus enabled to improve the ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems		/			
7	How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course	<b>/</b>				
8	Overall Experience					

Remarks if any:

#### STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name: P. Sai Sankar

Date: 2/5/20

Current Position: Student

Mail id: Shankorsai 231 @ gmail. Com

Phone: 9398608077

Degree & Year of Study: II BDS

This Questionnaire is intended to collect information regarding student's satisfaction towards the curriculum, learning and evaluation

S.No	Parameters	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor (1)
1	The syllabus was challenging		/			
2	Content of the course and relevance of the units		/			
3	The depth of the course content is adequate		/			
4	Size of the syllabus in terms of the load of students					
5	The recommended textbooks are adequately available and map on to the syllabus	<b>✓</b>				
6	Syllabus enabled to improve the ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems		/			
7	How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course		<b>✓</b>			
8	Overall Experience		<b>✓</b>		,	2

Remarks if any:

P. Sai Showkar Signature of Student

### STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name:	M,	Vinolia	Showon	
Name:	$ \vee $ ,	vinoua	Sharm	1

Date: 3/7/19

Current Position: Post Graduate Student

Mail id:

Enoleasharoumallolu + @gmail.com

Phone:

8074995236

Degree & Year of Study:

I M year Post Graduate

This Questionnaire is intended to collect information regarding student's satisfaction towards the curriculum, learning and evaluation

S.No	Parameters	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor (1)
1	The syllabus was challenging	~				
2	Content of the course and relevance of the units		/			
3	The depth of the course content is adequate		$\checkmark$			
4	Size of the syllabus in terms of the load of students			~		
5	The recommended textbooks are adequately available and map on to the syllabus			~		
6	Syllabus enabled to improve the ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems		V	•		
7	How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course		V	,		
8	Overall Experience					

Remarks if any:

### STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name:	Anlitha.	M
Name:	Ambitha.	IV

Date: 3/7/19

Current Position: Port Graduate
Mail id: Vineyankitha @ gnail. Com

Phone: 8675432919

Degree & Year of Study: Tyr Bot Grednele

This Questionnaire is intended to collect information regarding student's satisfaction towards the curriculum, learning and evaluation

S.No	Parameters	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor (1)
1	The syllabus was challenging	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \				
2	Content of the course and relevance of the units		<b>\</b>			
3	The depth of the course content is adequate			✓		
4	Size of the syllabus in terms of the load of students	✓				
5	The recommended textbooks are adequately available and map on to the syllabus				~	
6	Syllabus enabled to improve the ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems	<b>✓</b>				
7	How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course				✓	
8	Overall Experience	>				

Remarks if any:

### STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name:	Dor Grudapati	Beulah	Sukanya	Date:	13/06/2019

Current Position: M.D.S Student (P.G 1st year)

Mail id: giftygbs@gmail.com

Phone: 8985762402

Degree & Year of Study: 20.0.5, 2019-20.

This Questionnaire is intended to collect information regarding student's satisfaction towards the curriculum, learning and evaluation

S.No	Parameters	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor (1)
1	The syllabus was challenging	>				
2	Content of the course and relevance of the units		\			
3	The depth of the course content is adequate	>				
4	Size of the syllabus in terms of the load of students			>		
5	The recommended textbooks are adequately available and map on to the syllabus	<b>/</b>			20	
6	Syllabus enabled to improve the ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems	<b>\</b>	1	,	£	
7	How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course			<b>~</b>		×
8	Overall Experience	<b>/</b>				

Remarks if any:

### STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name: N-Snigdha Chowdary
Current Position: First BDS (2019-2020)

Date: 13/06/2019

Mail id: Snigdhachowdary 360@g.mail.com

Phone: 9866035386

Degree & Year of Study: First BDS (2019-2020)

This Questionnaire is intended to collect information regarding student's satisfaction towards the curriculum, learning and evaluation

S.No	Parameters	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor (1)
1	The syllabus was challenging	2	<b>/</b>		2	
2	Content of the course and relevance of the units					
3	The depth of the course content is adequate		/		н	
4	Size of the syllabus in terms of the load of students		300 100	<b>\</b>	1000	
5	The recommended textbooks are adequately available and map on to the syllabus		/			
6	Syllabus enabled to improve the ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems			/		
7	How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course		/			
8	Overall Experience		\			

Remarks if any:

Snigdha Signature of Student

### STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name:

J. Akshaya.

Date: 10/09/2019

Current Position: Ost BDS.

Mail id: pawarakshaya44@gmail-com

Phone: 9849281590

Degree & Year of Study: Qtt BDs. [2019-2020]

This Questionnaire is intended to collect information regarding student's satisfaction towards the curriculum, learning and evaluation

S.No	Parameters	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor (1)
1	The syllabus was challenging	~				
2	Content of the course and relevance of the units		/			2
3	The depth of the course content is adequate			~		
4	Size of the syllabus in terms of the load of students		~			
5	The recommended textbooks are adequately available and map on to the syllabus				~	
6	Syllabus enabled to improve the ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems		V			
7	How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course	~				100
8	Overall Experience	✓				

Remarks if any:

### STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Date: 5-12-2019

Name: K. Anolaver Shallivale

Current Position: Student

Mail id: Ambara shalcirah 99@ quail .com

Phone: 4402614140

Degree & Year of Study: 1st year - 2017

This Questionnaire is intended to collect information regarding student's satisfaction towards the curriculum, learning and evaluation

S.No	Parameters	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor (1)
1	The syllabus was challenging			V		500 5
2	Content of the course and relevance of the units					
3	The depth of the course content is adequate		$\checkmark$		,1	15 12 W B
4	Size of the syllabus in terms of the load of students			V		No. 1
5	The recommended textbooks are adequately available and map on to the syllabus				~	
6	Syllabus enabled to improve the ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems					
7	How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course				~	
8	Overall Experience			<b>✓</b>		

Remarks	if any:	
---------	---------	--

marks if any:

Content of cour a Release of vits - is Anneyl.

Reduced the Anneyl.

### STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Date: 15-12-2019

Name: Cr. Canal Locis.

Current Position: Student

Mail id: gkanallouis@guail.com.

Phone: 9121720630

Degree & Year of Study: 1st year - 2017

This Questionnaire is intended to collect information regarding student's satisfaction towards the curriculum, learning and evaluation

S.No	Parameters	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor (1)
1	The syllabus was challenging		~			
2	Content of the course and relevance of the units			_		4
3	The depth of the course content is adequate		_			
4	Size of the syllabus in terms of the load of students	10				
5	The recommended textbooks are adequately available and map on to the syllabus			1		
6	Syllabus enabled to improve the ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems					1
7	How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course			J		
8	Overall Experience					

Remarks if any:

Overall Experieu is Durage.

#### STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Date: 05-07-2018.

Name: K. Hladini

Current Position: Student

Mail id: Hladinikadiyala @ guail.com.

Phone: 7702910904

Degree & Year of Study: 1st year 2017

This Questionnaire is intended to collect information regarding student's satisfaction towards the curriculum, learning and evaluation

S.No	Parameters	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor (1)
1	The syllabus was challenging		/			
2	Content of the course and relevance of the units	3		1	No.	
3	The depth of the course content is adequate	Enternal programs on 100		1987 (1987)	_	
4	Size of the syllabus in terms of the load of students	5.				
5	The recommended textbooks are adequately available and map on to the syllabus				_	
6	Syllabus enabled to improve the ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems		į.	_		
7	How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course		_			
8	Overall Experience		0-		_	

Remarks if any:

Good.

### STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Date: 20-07-2018

Name: K. Shashack.

Current Position: Studend

Mail id: Shashank. Kallui. 1999 @ guail.com.

Phone: 9985819800

Degree & Year of Study: 1st year - 2017

This Questionnaire is intended to collect information regarding student's satisfaction towards the curriculum, learning and evaluation

S.No	Parameters	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor (1)
1	The syllabus was challenging	9440. 9 3 1			~	
2	Content of the course and relevance of the units		_			4 (6
3	The depth of the course content is adequate					
4	Size of the syllabus in terms of the load of students	On Some	~			
5	The recommended textbooks are adequately available and map on to the syllabus				<u></u>	
6	Syllabus enabled to improve the ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems		V			
7	How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course	,			<u></u>	
8	Overall Experience			~		2500 10004000

Remarks if any:

Better.

### STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Date: 20-07-2015

Name: G. Anusha

Current Position: Student

Mail id: anushagonabogina @ gmail. com

Phone: 9398096273

Degree & Year of Study: 2017 - 134 Jean

This Questionnaire is intended to collect information regarding student's satisfaction towards the curriculum, learning and evaluation

S.No	Parameters	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor (1)
1	The syllabus was challenging			>		
2	Content of the course and relevance of the units			\		
3	The depth of the course content is adequate			/		
4	Size of the syllabus in terms of the load of students		/			
5	The recommended textbooks are adequately available and map on to the syllabus				<b>/</b>	
6	Syllabus enabled to improve the ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems			/		
7	How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course				<u></u>	
8	Overall Experience		1	<b>/</b>		

Remarks if any: Improve the facilities in thostel

#### STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name:	Suean	p?my
-------	-------	------

` Date: 05-0\$2018

Current Position: 111 305

Mail id: Swan\_9@ gmail.com

Phone: 8182813737

Degree & Year of Study: (2018 - 2019)

This Questionnaire is intended to collect information regarding student's satisfaction towards the curriculum, learning and evaluation

S.No	Parameters	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor (1)
1	The syllabus was challenging					
2	Content of the course and relevance of the units			/		
3	The depth of the course content is adequate			/	ken.	
4	Size of the syllabus in terms of the load of students		/			
5	The recommended textbooks are adequately available and map on to the syllabus					
6	Syllabus enabled to improve the ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems					
7	How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course			/		
8	Overall Experience					

Remarks if any:

### STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name:	Meghane	Lanka
-------	---------	-------

Date: 20-08-2018

Current Position: \$6. Itwdent

Mail id: dr. meghana. la gmail. com

Phone: 8885821555

Degree & Year of Study: 11 y PG (2018 - 2019)

This Questionnaire is intended to collect information regarding student's satisfaction towards the curriculum, learning and evaluation

S.No	Parameters	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor (1)
1	The syllabus was challenging		/			
2	Content of the course and relevance of the units		/			
3	The depth of the course content is adequate		/			
4	Size of the syllabus in terms of the load of students	,				
5	The recommended textbooks are adequately available and map on to the syllabus					
6	Syllabus enabled to improve the ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems		/			1.0
7	How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course					
8	Overall Experience					

Remarks if any:

### STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name: W. Shuba Anulya Current Position: It ROS

Date: 25-09-2018

Mail id: chuba nuthelepetilos @ gnell.com

Phone:

8309860302

Degree & Year of Study: not Ros

This Questionnaire is intended to collect information regarding student's satisfaction towards the curriculum, learning and evaluation

S.No	Parameters	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor (1)
1	The syllabus was challenging	175	/			
2	Content of the course and relevance of the units	<b>\</b>				
3	The depth of the course content is adequate		/			
4	Size of the syllabus in terms of the load of students		<b>✓</b>		S. enable attres	
5	The recommended textbooks are adequately available and map on to the syllabus	>				
6	Syllabus enabled to improve the ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems			<b>/</b>	<u> </u>	
7	How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course		/			
8	Overall Experience			/		

Remarks if any:

Signature of Student

W. Cheebe Doubly

### STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name: Blessie Hadaua. Jutke

Date: 26-07-2018

Current Position: The BDS

Mail id: blessichadassajtk&@gmail.com

Phone: 9346423291

Degree & Year of Study: 1805 & 2018-2019.

This Questionnaire is intended to collect information regarding student's satisfaction towards the curriculum, learning and evaluation

S.No	Parameters	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor (1)
1	The syllabus was challenging		<b>/</b>			
2	Content of the course and relevance of the units			<b>/</b>		
3	The depth of the course content is adequate			/		
4	Size of the syllabus in terms of the load of students		<b>\</b>			
5	The recommended textbooks are adequately available and map on to the syllabus			/		
6	Syllabus enabled to improve the ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems			/		27
7	How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course			/		1000
8	Overall Experience			<b>/</b>		

Remarks if any:

### STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name:	B. KIRAN	KARTHIK
Name:	DILLINGO	1411611121

Date: 31/10/2018

Current Position: 3 TIOS.

Mail id: - Hiven Kentrik bornik Jejmin Com

Phone:

- 9177555736

Degree & Year of Study: M.D.S - 3 Year - 2018

This Questionnaire is intended to collect information regarding student's satisfaction towards the curriculum, learning and evaluation

S.No	Parameters	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor (1)
1	The syllabus was challenging		<b>✓</b>			
2	Content of the course and relevance of the units		<b>~</b>			
3	The depth of the course content is adequate	$\checkmark$				
4	Size of the syllabus in terms of the load of students		<b>✓</b>			3400
5	The recommended textbooks are adequately available and map on to the syllabus	$\checkmark$				-
6	Syllabus enabled to improve the ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems	$\checkmark$	/			
7	How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course		<b>✓</b>		1	
8	Overall Experience	$\checkmark$			700	

Remarks if any:

B. Kiran Karthile Signature of Student

### STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Name: p.saisejawini

Date: 31/10/2018

Current Position: 24 BDC

Saigejarwini pokuri @ g mail-con

Phone:

9553943583

Degree & Year of Study: 2018 - 19

This Questionnaire is intended to collect information regarding student's satisfaction towards the curriculum, learning and evaluation

S.No	Parameters	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor (1)
1	The syllabus was challenging			<b>✓</b>		
2	Content of the course and relevance of the units				/	
3	The depth of the course content is adequate					
4	Size of the syllabus in terms of the load of students			/	,	
5	The recommended textbooks are adequately available and map on to the syllabus					
6	Syllabus enabled to improve the ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems					
7	How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course			/		
8	Overall Experience					

Remarks if any:

p. saitejarwini Signature of Student

#### STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Date: 5/11/2018

Name:

KAVYASREE .S

Current Position: Student

Mail id: Larya snee sura 1998 @ gmail. com

Phone:

8555 981730

Degree & Year of Study: Ind BDS

This Questionnaire is intended to collect information regarding student's satisfaction towards the curriculum, learning and evaluation

S.No	Parameters	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor (1)
1	The syllabus was challenging					4-4
2	Content of the course and relevance of the units			~		
3	The depth of the course content is adequate			<b>V</b>		
4	Size of the syllabus in terms of the load of students		V			
5	The recommended textbooks are adequately available and map on to the syllabus			V		
6	Syllabus enabled to improve the ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems			~		
7	How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course		·V			
8	Overall Experience			~		

Remarks if any:

### STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Date: 5/11/20/8

Name:

K. NIKHILA

**Current Position:** 

STUDENT

Mail id:

lasyanikhilare 8 @ gmail.com 7815854181

Phone:

Degree & Year of Study: ind yr.

This Questionnaire is intended to collect information regarding student's satisfaction towards the curriculum, learning and evaluation

S.No	Parameters	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor (1)
1	The syllabus was challenging			<b>\</b>	j.	
2	Content of the course and relevance of the units			>	and the second of the second	
3	The depth of the course content is adequate				i.	
4	Size of the syllabus in terms of the load of students			<b>✓</b>		
5	The recommended textbooks are adequately available and map on to the syllabus			/		
6	Syllabus enabled to improve the ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems			<b>✓</b>		
7	How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course			<b>✓</b>		
8	Overall Experience					

Remarks if any:

### STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Date: 15/12/2018

1. 11

Name:

P. SATUA SAINADH

Current Position: STUDENT

Mail id:

pamathisatya @ gmail.com

Phone: 7569396666

Degree & Year of Study: IT we BDS

This Questionnaire is intended to collect information regarding student's satisfaction towards the curriculum, learning and evaluation

S.No	Parameters	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor (1)
1	The syllabus was challenging			~		
2	Content of the course and relevance of the units		~			
3	The depth of the course content is adequate			~		
4	Size of the syllabus in terms of the load of students			V		
5	The recommended textbooks are adequately available and map on to the syllabus			~		po del Assess
6	Syllabus enabled to improve the ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems		~			
7	How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course			V		
8	Overall Experience			V		

Remarks if any:

g aty a sas Signature of Student

### STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Date: 15/12/2018

Name: P. Pallavi

Current Position: Student

Mail id: Pallavi. 10. Pandavula @ gmail. Com

Phone: 8328175365

Degree & Year of Study: W BDS

This Questionnaire is intended to collect information regarding student's satisfaction towards the curriculum, learning and evaluation

S.No	Parameters	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor (1)
1	The syllabus was challenging	/				
2	Content of the course and relevance of the units					
3	The depth of the course content is adequate					
. 4	Size of the syllabus in terms of the load of students		/			
5	The recommended textbooks are adequately available and map on to the syllabus					
6	Syllabus enabled to improve the ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems					
7	How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course			N 200 10 200		
8	Overall Experience					

Remarks if any:

### STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Date: 05/01/2019

Name: V. Hîmasaî Reddy

Current Position: Student

Mail id: himasai Reddy @ Gmail. Com

Phone: 8333011715

Degree & Year of Study: Degree

This Questionnaire is intended to collect information regarding student's satisfaction towards the curriculum, learning and evaluation

S.No	Parameters	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor (1)
1	The syllabus was challenging	✓ .				
2	Content of the course and relevance of the units	>				
3	The depth of the course content is adequate	74				AZ LI III. NAMPORIALI
4	Size of the syllabus in terms of the load of students	1				
5	The recommended textbooks are adequately available and map on to the syllabus					
6	Syllabus enabled to improve the ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems	>				
7	How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course					
8	Overall Experience	/				

Remarks if any:

#### STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

lu 11 cenesha	
l	Un or anosha

Date: 15/01/2019

Current Position: 126 BOS

Mail id:

allu manisha 05@ gmail. Com

Phone: 6303163661

Degree & Year of Study: 18 BDS (19-20)

This Questionnaire is intended to collect information regarding student's satisfaction towards the curriculum, learning and evaluation

S.No	Parameters	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor (1)
1	The syllabus was challenging			~		
2.	Content of the course and relevance of the units			/		
3	The depth of the course content is adequate			/		
4	Size of the syllabus in terms of the load of students			~		
5	The recommended textbooks are adequately available and map on to the syllabus			✓		v
6	Syllabus enabled to improve the ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems	9		/		*
7	How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course			/		
8	Overall Experience			/		

Remarks if any:

A. Marisher Signature of Student

#### STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Date: 25-11-2017

Name: K. Sarah Prandilca.

Current Position: Student

Mail id: KSarati Franchika 90 Deghand. com.

Phone: + + 80457473

Degree & Year of Study: 1st year - 2017

This Questionnaire is intended to collect information regarding student's satisfaction towards the curriculum, learning and evaluation

S.No	Parameters	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor (1)
1	The syllabus was challenging				/	
2	Content of the course and relevance of the units		~			
3	The depth of the course content is adequate			_		
4	Size of the syllabus in terms of the load of students	2	V			
5	The recommended textbooks are adequately available and map on to the syllabus		*			
6	Syllabus enabled to improve the ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems			_		
7	How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course		~		200019 98	
8	Overall Experience				_	

Remarks if any:

Exedlent.

k. Jacob Signature of Student

#### STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Date: 10-11-2014-

Name: G. Harsha Sree

Current Position: Student

Mail id: harshasree gummalla @ gmail "com

Phone: 88977777986

Degree & Year of Study: First BDS 2K17

This Questionnaire is intended to collect information regarding student's satisfaction towards the curriculum, learning and evaluation

S.No	Parameters	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor (1)
1	The syllabus was challenging					
2	Content of the course and relevance of the units					
3	The depth of the course content is adequate			_	P.	75
4	Size of the syllabus in terms of the load of students				<u></u>	
5	The recommended textbooks are adequately available and map on to the syllabus					
6	Syllabus enabled to improve the ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems			8		
7	How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course					
8	Overall Experience				~	

Remarks if any:

#### STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Date: 16-10-2017

Name: Ganta. Chaistina

Current Position: Student

Mail id: gantachnictina 3@ gmail com.

Phone: 798 1516442.

Degree & Year of Study: First BDs 2K17.

This Questionnaire is intended to collect information regarding student's satisfaction towards the curriculum, learning and evaluation

S.No	Parameters	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor (1)
1	The syllabus was challenging			>		
2	Content of the course and relevance of the units				>	
3	The depth of the course content is adequate				>	
4	Size of the syllabus in terms of the load of students					
5	The recommended textbooks are adequately available and map on to the syllabus			/	7	
6	Syllabus enabled to improve the ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems				$\checkmark$	
7	How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course					
8	Overall Experience					

Remarks if any:

#### STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Date: 15-10-2018

Name: Lalitha Sankerethane

Current Position: Intum

Mail id: lalitha. Sankerothena @ gmail. com

Phone: 9533006350

Degree & Year of Study: 2017 - 1st you

This Questionnaire is intended to collect information regarding student's satisfaction towards the curriculum, learning and evaluation

S.No	Parameters	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor (1)
1	The syllabus was challenging		/			
2	Content of the course and relevance of the units			\		
3	The depth of the course content is adequate		<u></u>			
4	Size of the syllabus in terms of the load of students			)		
5	The recommended textbooks are adequately available and map on to the syllabus		/	4		p.
6	Syllabus enabled to improve the ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems				and the state of t	
7	How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course			$\checkmark$		
8	Overall Experience					

Remarks if any:

### STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Date: 24-09-2017

Name: M. Haishitha

Current Position: Student

Mail id: harshitha.harshi 20599 Qgmail.com

Phone: 9618244263

Degree & Year of Study: 2017 -1st year

This Questionnaire is intended to collect information regarding student's satisfaction towards the curriculum, learning and evaluation

S.No	Parameters	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor (1)
1	The syllabus was challenging			~		
2	Content of the course and relevance of the units			~		
3	The depth of the course content is adequate		~			
4	Size of the syllabus in terms of the load of students			~		
5	The recommended textbooks are adequately available and map on to the syllabus			~		
6	Syllabus enabled to improve the ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems			~		
7	How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course			~		
8	Overall Experience			_		1.

Remarks if any:

Signature of Student

Sun Herhitha

#### STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Date: 10-09-2017

Name:

G. Floritha

Current Position: Student

Mail id:

floritha gurusu. 01@gmas/com.

Phone:

9121965348

Degree & Year of Study:

2017 - 1 st year

This Questionnaire is intended to collect information regarding student's satisfaction towards the curriculum, learning and evaluation

S.No	Parameters	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor (1)
1	The syllabus was challenging	9		/		
2	Content of the course and relevance of the units			1		
3	The depth of the course content is adequate				.41	
4	Size of the syllabus in terms of the load of students			/		
5	The recommended textbooks are adequately available and map on to the syllabus				<b>/</b>	
6	Syllabus enabled to improve the ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems		<b>/</b>			
7	How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course		~			
8	Overall Experience					

Remarks if any:

Signature of Student

G. Floretha

### STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Date:05-08-2017

Name:	G. Jaya Sai Meghana
Current 1	Position: Student
Mail id:	gaddam-jnya sai neghana a gnait- Com
Phone:	8977621629

2017 - 1st year B.D.s. This Questionnaire is intended to collect information regarding student's satisfaction towards the curriculum, learning and evaluation

S.No	Parameters	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor (1)
1	The syllabus was challenging			✓		
2	Content of the course and relevance of the units	des conte				AGG Street or Constraints
3-	The depth of the course content is adequate		~			v
4	Size of the syllabus in terms of the load of students					v
5	The recommended textbooks are adequately available and map on to the syllabus					
6	Syllabus enabled to improve the ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems					
7	How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course					
8	Overall Experience					

Remarks if any:

Degree & Year of Study:

G. Taya Sarmighana Signature of Student

### STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Date: 25-07-2017

Name: M. Vencela Hadawa	Name:	M	1/PMCP/a	Hadaya	
-------------------------	-------	---	----------	--------	--

Current Position: Student

Mail id: Vinny vineela 3010 @ gmail.com

Phone: 9121997817

Degree & Year of Study: 2017 - 1st year 13.D.s

This Questionnaire is intended to collect information regarding student's satisfaction towards the curriculum, learning and evaluation

S.No	Parameters	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor (1)
1	The syllabus was challenging			~		
2	Content of the course and relevance of the units			1		
3	The depth of the course content is adequate		<b>~</b>			
4	Size of the syllabus in terms of the load of students			~		
5	The recommended textbooks are adequately available and map on to the syllabus				/	
6	Syllabus enabled to improve the ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems		/			
7	How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course		~			
8	Overall Experience		/			

Remarks if any:

### STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Date: 10-07-2017

Name: M. V. Sai Sudha

Current Position: Student

Mail id: Satsudhamandapatiol @ gmail. com.

Phone: 91827 45 245

Degree & Year of Study: 1017 - 1st year B.D.S

This Questionnaire is intended to collect information regarding student's satisfaction towards the curriculum, learning and evaluation

S.No	Parameters	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor (1)
1	The syllabus was challenging			/		
2	Content of the course and relevance of the units			/		
3	The depth of the course content is adequate		/			
4	Size of the syllabus in terms of the load of students			/		
5	The recommended textbooks are adequately available and map on to the syllabus				1	
6	Syllabus enabled to improve the ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems		✓			
7	How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course		/			
8	Overall Experience		/			

Remarks if any:

### STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Date: 05-06-2017

Name:

N.M. Rose Mary

Current Position: Student

Mail id:

nagothochinn avi 70642@genoil.com.

Phone:

8639108584

Degree & Year of Study:

2017 - 18 year.

This Questionnaire is intended to collect information regarding student's satisfaction towards the curriculum, learning and evaluation

S.No	Parameters	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor (1)
1	The syllabus was challenging				V	
2	Content of the course and relevance of the units				V	
3	The depth of the course content is adequate		V			and delivery the control of the cont
4	Size of the syllabus in terms of the load of students				V	
5	The recommended textbooks are adequately available and map on to the syllabus					
6	Syllabus enabled to improve the ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems		V			
7	How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course		V			
8	Overall Experience				V	

Remarks if any:

### STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Date: 05-06-2017

Name:

M. IHTOYEAYVID

Current Position: Student

Mail id: divya.neelam 333@gmail.com Phone: 7981867403

Degree & Year of Study: Trad BDS

This Questionnaire is intended to collect information regarding student's satisfaction towards the curriculum, learning and evaluation

S.No	Parameters	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor (1)
1	The syllabus was challenging	8		~		
2	Content of the course and relevance of the units		~			
3	The depth of the course content is adequate			~		
4	Size of the syllabus in terms of the load of students			~		
5	The recommended textbooks are adequately available and map on to the syllabus		1	~		
6	Syllabus enabled to improve the ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems		~			4
7	How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course			V		
8	Overall Experience		~			

Remarks if any:

N. Diyayothi Signature of Student

### STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Date: 22-09-2017-

Name: RANDHI SRILBKHYA

Current Position: Student

Mail id: sistekhya, randhi agmail.com

Phone: 9440817711

Degree & Year of Study: Ind BD s

This Questionnaire is intended to collect information regarding student's satisfaction towards the curriculum, learning and evaluation

S.No	Parameters	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor (1)
1	The syllabus was challenging			~		
2	Content of the course and relevance of the units			~		
3	The depth of the course content is adequate		<b>/</b>			
4	Size of the syllabus in terms of the load of students			<b>~</b>		
5	The recommended textbooks are adequately available and map on to the syllabus			/		
6	Syllabus enabled to improve the ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems			~		
7	How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course			~		
8	Overall Experience		/			

Remarks if any:

### STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Date: 20-09-2017

Name: KOLA. REVANTH KUM BR

Current Position: STUDENT

Mail id: & K Kola Kumar 23498@ gmanitum

Phone: 9177311979

Degree & Year of Study: 1 Q. D'S.

This Questionnaire is intended to collect information regarding student's satisfaction towards the curriculum, learning and evaluation

S.No	Parameters	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor (1)
1	The syllabus was challenging					
2	Content of the course and relevance of the units		4			
3	The depth of the course content is adequate					
4	Size of the syllabus in terms of the load of students					
5	The recommended textbooks are adequately available and map on to the syllabus					
6	Syllabus enabled to improve the ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems					
7	How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course					
8	Overall Experience					

Remarks if any:

### STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Date: 17-09-20017

Name: S. Dedeepja devi

Current Position: student

Mail id: Dedeepyasantapusi @ gmail.com

Phone: 8689157878

Degree & Year of Study:  $\overline{M} B \cdot D \cdot S$ 

This Questionnaire is intended to collect information regarding student's satisfaction towards the curriculum, learning and evaluation

S.No	Parameters	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor (1)
1	The syllabus was challenging		>			
2	Content of the course and relevance of the units	45	>			
3	The depth of the course content is adequate		\		and the second	alia (an Arabana a
4	Size of the syllabus in terms of the load of students	<b>/</b>				
5	The recommended textbooks are adequately available and map on to the syllabus		<b>/</b>			
6	Syllabus enabled to improve the ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems	>				and and
7	How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course	<b>✓</b>				
8	Overall Experience		<u></u>			

Remarks if any:

S. De doorya dem' Signature of Student

2017 2017

## **LENORA INSTITUTE OF DENTAL SCIENCES**

### STUDENT FEEDBACK FORM

Date: 17-09-2017

Name: Gundu Lahasi

Current Position: Student

Mail id: la harigunder 120 @granit com

Phone: 7337406828

Degree & Year of Study: III BOS.

This Questionnaire is intended to collect information regarding student's satisfaction towards the curriculum, learning and evaluation

S.No	Parameters	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Poor (1)
1	The syllabus was challenging		<b>/</b>			
2	Content of the course and relevance of the units		/			
3	The depth of the course content is adequate		/			
4	Size of the syllabus in terms of the load of students	· ~				
5	The recommended textbooks are adequately available and map on to the syllabus		<b>✓</b>			
6	Syllabus enabled to improve the ability to formulate, analyze and solve problems	~		9		
7	How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course	/		V.		
8	Overall Experience		~			

Remarks if any: